

Limited English Proficiency Plan

Table of Contents

Introduction	.3
Background	.3
Meeting the Requirements	.3
Factor Analysis	.4
Factor 1: Number and Proportion of LEP Persons in the MPO Service Area	.4
Factor 2: The Frequency in which LEP Persons Encounter MPO Programs	.6
Factor 3: The Importance of Services Provided by the MPO	.6
Factor 4: The Resources Available and Overall Cost	.6
Language Assistance Measures	.7
Contact	.7
Appendices	.8
Appendix I: Language Spoken at Home, United States Census 2009-2013 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates	.8
Appendix II: Race, 2010 Census1	10

Introduction

Most individuals in America have the ability to read, speak, write and understand English. Those who have a limited ability to do so are considered Limited English Proficient (LEP). According to the United States Census 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates, 0.8% of the population of Cambria County is LEP. These persons may be entitled to language assistance services offered by government agencies and entities that receive federal funds as required under Title VI.

Background

Executive Order 13166, "Improving Access to Services for Persons with Limited English Proficiency," reprinted at 65 FR 50121 (August 16, 2000), directs each Federal agency to examine the services it provides and develop and implement a system by which LEP persons can meaningfully access those services. Federal agencies were instructed to publish guidance for their respective recipients in order to assist them with their obligations to LEP persons under Title VI. The Executive Order states that recipients must take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to their programs and activities by LEP persons. Executive Order 13166 applies to all federal agencies and operations and programs from entities that receive federal funding, including Metropolitan Planning Organizations (MPOs), state departments of transportation, regional transportation agencies, regional, state and local transit operators and state and local agencies with emergency transportation responsibilities.

On December 14, 2004, the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) issued guidance entitled "Policy Guidance Concerning Recipients Responsibilities to Limited English Proficient (LEP) Persons." The DOT Policy Guidance States:

"Title VI and its implementing regulations require that recipients [of federal funds] take responsible steps to ensure meaningful access by LEP persons. Recipients should use the guidance to determine how best to comply with statutory and regulatory obligations to provide meaningful access to the benefits, services, information, and other important portions of their programs and activities for individuals who are LEP."

The Cambria County Planning Commission developed this Limited English Proficiency Plan to identify reasonable steps to help provide language assistance to LEP persons seeking meaningful access to programs within the Johnstown MPO, as required by Executive order 13166.

Meeting the Requirements

The Four Factors:

The USDOT recommends four factors that recipients of federal funds should analyze to ensure meaningful access to programs, activities, and services by LEP persons. The intent of the guidelines is to find a balance that ensures meaningful access by LEP persons to critical services and program while not imposing an undue burden on the recipient or subrecipient. The four factors are:

- 1. Number and proportion of LEP individuals eligible to be served or likely to be encountered by a program, activity or service of the recipient or grantee;
- 2. Frequency with which LEP individuals come into contact with programs, activities and services.
- 3. Nature and importance of programs, activities and services to LEP persons
- 4. Resources available to the recipient and costs

Factor Analysis

Factor 1: Number and Proportion of LEP Persons in the MPO Service Area

The United States Census 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-Year Estimates provides data on population groups that have Limited English Proficiency by their primary language spoken at home. For planning purposes for compliance with the federal requirements, the CCPC considers LEP as people that speak English "less than very well."

According to the ACS, 0.8% of the population of Cambria County is LEP. The second most spoken language after English is Other Indo-European languages, which 1.5% of the population speak, followed by Spanish and Spanish Creole languages, which are spoken by 1.3% of the persons in Cambria County.

Within the City of Johnstown, 0.6% of the population speak English "less than very well." The second most spoken language after English is Spanish and Spanish Creole, which is spoken by 1.8% of the population, and is followed by Other Indo-European Languages, which 1.3% of the population of the City speak.

The top five municipalities in Cambria County where the population reports to speak English "less than very well" are Allegheny Township (5.6%), Cresson Township, (2.1%), Stonycreek Township (2.0%), Dale Borough (1.8 %) and Upper Yoder Township (1.6%). The high numbers in Allegheny Township are likely due to the location of the Federal Correctional Institution at Loretto and the numbers in Cresson Township are likely due to the location of State Correctional Institution at Cresson, which was located in the Township. State Correctional Institution at Cresson closed in 2013 which was after the 2009-2013 ACS was conducted so it is likely that these numbers are no longer accurate.

Table 1 presents the 2009-2013 American Community Survey Estimates for Language Spoken at Home in Cambria County.

Table 1
Language Spoken at Home (Population 5+ Years)
2009-2013 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates

English Language Ability in Cambria County	Population Percent in Cambria County	Margin of Error
Total Population of Cambria County	135,331	+/-34
Speaks English "Very Well"	99.2%	+/-0.2
Speaks English "Less than Very Well"	0.8%	+/-0.2
Speaks English Only	96.8%	+/-0.3
Speaks a Language Other than English	3.2%	+/-0.3
Speaks Spanish or Spanish Creole Languages	1.3%	+/-0.2
Speaks Other Indo-European Languages	1.5%	+/-0.2
Speaks Asian and Pacific Island Languages	0.4%	+/-0.1
Speaks Other Languages	0.1%	+/-0.1

Source: U.S Census American Community Survey 2009-2013 5-Year Estimates, Table S1601

The 2010 Decennial Census provides general population characteristics, including race. The Planning Commission found it to be relevant to the plan to explore the racial make-up of the County.

After Other Indo-European languages, Spanish and Spanish Creole is the most spoken language after English. According to the 2010 Decennial Census, Cambria County had a Hispanic and Latino population of 2,006 people or 1.4% percent total of the population of the County. The largest concentration of the Hispanic and Latino population reside in the City of Johnstown, where 32% (622 people) of the Hispanic and Latino population live. The other largest concentrated areas of the Hispanic and Latino populations are Allegheny Township with 246 people (12%) and Cresson Township with 190 people (9%). Both of these figures once again are likely due to the presence of prisons located in the Townships and the figure in Cresson Township is likely now changed due to the state prison closure. Some of the municipalities that have a notable Asian population are Richland Township (1.9%), Westmont Borough (1.5%), Geistown Borough, (1.5%), Upper Yoder Township (1.3%), and Southmont Borough (1.1%).

Table 2 presents the 2010 Decennial Census for Race in Cambria County.

Table 2 Race 2010 Census

Race in Cambria County	Total	Percent
Total Population	143,679	100%
Hispanic or Latino	2,006	1.4%
Not Hispanic or Latino	141,673	98.6%
White Only	134,073	93.3%
Black or African American Only	5,073	3.5%
American Indian and Alaska	108	0.1%
Native Alone		
Asian Alone	720	0.5%
Native Hawaiian Alone	28	0.0%
Some Other Race	102	0.1%
Two or More Races	1,569	1.1%

Source: U.S. Census Bureau 2010 Decennial Census, Summary File 1

Factor 2: The Frequency in with LEP Persons Encounter MPO Programs

Based off of 2003-2009 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, only 0.8% of the population of Cambria County is LEP. A large amount of that population is due to the location of prisons in the County, therefore those individuals likely will not frequently be encountering MPO programs. Furthermore, the demographics on this report are slightly skewed due to being conducted before the closure of State Correctional Institute at Cresson, so the LEP population may be even less than this report reflects. The population of Cambria County is currently declining therefore new growth is unpredictable as is the size of the LEP population and the probability of future contact with the MPO.

Factor 3: The Importance of Services Provided by the MPO

The Cambria County Planning Commission utilizes federal funds for programs and services which plan future transportation projects but these do not include any direct services or programs that require vital, immediate or emergency service. The CCPC does not conduct applications, interviews or other activities prior to participation in its programs or events. Furthermore, involvement by any citizen with the MPO or its committees is voluntary. However, to be compliant with federal requirements the MPO must ensure that all segments of the population have had the opportunity to be involved in the transportation planning process.

The Planning Commission currently addresses the needs of EJ populations, including LEP persons by publishing a Public Participation Plan, which is incorporated into the Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and a Coordinated Human Services Transportation Plan.

Factor 4: The Resources Available and Overall Cost

Given the very small size of the LEP population in Cambria County, the CCPC will not be translating any publications or providing interpreter services at public meetings at this time due the high costs involved and lack of necessity. At the current moment a translator application is not available on the CCPC

website but this option may be considered if the Planning Commission feels it may be a valuable tool in the future.

Language Assistance Measures

Due to the extremely small amount of LEP persons in Cambria County, the Cambria County Planning Commission has not encountered any inquiries from individuals needing assistance with language services to date. However, if a LEP person would require assistance, the following measures will be in place.

Phone Interpreting Services

Should a LEP person require an interpreter, PennDOT currently has an account with Propio Language Services which the Planning Commission can utilize when needed. LEP individuals can phone the CCPC or see them in person to be placed on a three-way phone call with an experienced interpreter.

Staff Training

Cambria County Planning Commission Staff will review the plan and familiarize themselves with the LEP policies and procedures. Staff will also take advantage of the training materials that the Propio interpreter service offers so they can efficiently use the service should it be needed.

Posting Information

The LEP Plan will be posted on the Cambria County Planning Commission website. www.cambriaplanning.org

Monitoring and Updating the LEP Plan

The Cambria County Planning Commission will monitor the population changes and potential increases in LEP persons as new Census information is made available and will update the plan accordingly.

Contact

The Cambria County Planning Commission will respond to reasonable requests for LEP consideration in its programs and activities. To request assistance or discuss LEP issues, please contact:

Cambria County Planning Commission 401 Candlelight Drive, Suite 215 Ebensburg, PA 15931 (814) 472-2106 www.cambriaplanning.org

Census sources are from both the 2010 Decennial Census and the 2009-2013 American Community Survey (ACS). The reason that they are from different sources is that after the 2010, Language Spoken at Home was removed from the Decennial Census long form and included in the new American Community Survey. The 2010 Decennial Census was used for race demographics as the ACS does not measure race at a small enough level to provide the information necessary for this plan.

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME 2009-2013 AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY 5-YEAR ESTIMATES

					Speak						Spanish				Asian and			
	Total		Speak		English		- "		Other		or		Other Indo-		Pacific		2	
	Population		English		Less Than		English		Than		Spanish		European		Island		Other	
Municipality	5 yrs+	MOE	"very well"	MOE	Very Well	MOE	Only	MOE	English	MOE	Creole	MOE	Languages	MOE	Languages	MOE	Languages	MOE
Adams Twp	5,565	+/- 150	98.6%	+/- 1.3	1.4%	+/- 1.3	96.7%	+/- 2.6	3.3%	+/- 2.6	2.2%	+/- 2.4	1.1%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 0.4	0.0%	+/- 0.4
Allgeheny Twp	2,768	+/- 41	94.4%	+/- 3.5	5.6%	+/- 3.5	84.7%	+/- 4.5	15.3%	+/- 4.5	10.7%	+/- 2.9	1.4%	+/- 1.1	0.9%	+/- 1.1	2.4%	+/- 2.1
Ashville Boro	205	+/- 50	99.0%	+/- 1.5	1.0%	+/- 1.5	98.0%	+/- 2.0	2.0%	+/- 2.0	2.0%	+/- 2.0	0.0%	+/- 11.5	0.0%	+/- 11.5	0.0%	+/- 11.5
Barr Twp	1,928	+/- 169	100.0%	+/- 1.3	0.0%	+/- 1.3	98.2%	+/- 1.0	1.8%	+/- 1.0	0.6%	+/- 0.6	1.1%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 1.3	0.1%	+/- 0.1
Blacklick Twp	2,106	+/- 214	99.7%	+/- 0.5	0.3%	+/- 0.5	98.3%	+/- 1.3	1.7%	+/- 1.3	0.9%	+/- 1.1	0.7%	+/- 0.7	0.0%	+/- 1.2	0.0%	+/- 1.2
Brownstown Boro	712	+/- 125	99.7%	+/- 0.5	0.3%	+/- 0.5	99.0%	+/- 1.0	1.0%	+/- 1.0	0.3%	+/- 0.5	0.7%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 3.4	0.0%	+/- 3.4
Cambria Twp	5,905	+/- 91	98.9%	+/- 0.9	1.1%	+/- 0.9	97.1%	+/- 1.5	2.9%	+/- 1.5	0.7%	+/- 0.8	1.2%	+/- 1.1	0.6%	+/- 0.8	0.4%	+/- 0.6
Carrolltown Boro	767	+/- 109	100.0%	+/- 3.2	0.0%	+/- 3.2	98.8%	+/- 1.3	1.2%	+/- 1.3	0.4%	+/- 0.5	0.5%	+/- 1.0	0.3%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 3.2
Cassandra Boro	151	+/- 47	100.0%	+/- 15.2	0.0%	+/- 15.2	98.0%	+/- 3.5	2.0%	+/- 3.5	0.0%	+/- 15.2	2.0%	+/- 3.5	0.0%	+/- 15.2	0.0%	+/- 15.2
Chest Twp	311	+/- 49	100.0%	+/- 7.7	0.0%	+/- 7.7	100.0%	+/- 7.7	0.0%	+/- 7.7	0.0%	+/- 7.7	0.0%	+/- 7.7	0.0%	+/- 7.7	0.0%	+/- 7.7
Chest Springs Boro	168	+/- 36	100.0%	+/- 13.8	0.0%	+/- 13.8	100.0%	+/- 13.8	0.0%	+/- 13.8	0.0%	+/- 13.8	0.0%	+/- 13.8	0.0%	+/- 13.8	0.0%	+/- 13.8
Clearfield Twp	1,493	+/- 117	99.8%	+/- 0.3	0.2%	+/- 0.3	99.3%	+/- 0.8	0.7%	+/- 0.8	0.3%	+/- 0.6	0.2%	+/- 0.3	0.3%	+/- 0.4	0.0%	+/- 1.7
Conemaugh Twp	1,986	+/- 133	100.0%	+/- 1.2	0.0%	+/- 1.2	98.9%	+/- 0.8	1.1%	+/- 0.8	0.2%	+/- 0.3	0.9%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 1.2	0.0%	+/- 1.2
Cresson Boro	1,540	+/- 191	99.2%	+/- 1.4	0.8%	+/- 1.4	96.9%	+/- 2.8	3.1%	+/- 2.8	1.0%	+/- 1.0	2.1%	+/- 2.7	0.0%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 1.6
Cresson Twp	4,179	+/- 59	97.9%	+/- 1.4	2.1%	+/- 1.4	93.4%	+/- 1.8	6.6%	+/- 1.8	4.6%	+/- 1.8	1.3%	+/- 0.7	0.5%	+/- 0.4	0.3%	+/- 0.5
Croyle Twp	2,112	+/- 166	99.8%	+/- 0.3	0.2%	+/- 0.3	99.0%	+/- 0.8	1.0%	+/- 0.8	0.7%	+/- 0.6	0.3%	+/- 0.5	0.0%	+/- 1.2	0.0%	+/- 1.2
Daisytown Boro	330	+/- 72	100.0%	+/- 7.3	0.0%	+/- 7.3	99.4%	+/- 1.1	0.6%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 7.3	0.6%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 7.3	0.0%	+/- 7.3
Dale Boro	1,218	+/- 185	98.2%	+/- 1.4	1.8%	+/- 1.4	95.0%	+/- 2.9	5.0%	+/- 2.9	0.7%	+/- 0.7	3.9%	+/- 2.7	0.5%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 2.0
Dean Twp	402	+/- 88	100.0%	+/- 6.0	0.0%	+/- 6.0	99.0%	+/- 1.1	1.0%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 6.0	1.0%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 6.0	0.0%	+/- 6.0
East Carroll Twp	1,576	+/- 127	100.0%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 1.6	99.2%	+/- 1.0	0.8%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.6	0.8%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 1.6
East Conemaugh Boro	1,039	+/- 115	100.0%	+/- 2.4	0.0%	+/- 2.4	97.2%	+/- 1.5	2.8%	+/- 1.5	0.5%	+/- 0.6	2.3%	+/- 1.4	0.0%	+/- 2.4	0.0%	+/- 2.4
East Taylor Twp	2,549	+/- 53	99.4%	+/- 0.6	0.6%	+/- 0.6	98.5%	+/- 0.9	1.5%	+/- 0.9	0.9%	+/- 0.7	0.6%	+/- 0.5	0.0%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.0
Ebensburg Boro	3,091	+/- 85	100.0%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 0.8	98.7%	+/- 1.0	1.3%	+/- 1.0	0.6%	+/- 0.8	0.7%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 0.8
Ehrenfeld Boro	205	+/- 52	99.0%	+/- 1.9	1.0%	+/- 1.9	98.0%	+/- 2.3	2.0%	+/- 2.3	0.0%	+/- 11.5	2.0%	+/- 2.3	0.0%	+/- 11.5	0.0%	+/- 11.5
Elder Twp	1,003	+/- 119	100.0%	+/- 2.5	0.0%	+/- 2.5	100.0%	+/- 2.5	0.0%	+/- 2.5	0.0%	+/- 2.5	0.0%	+/- 2.5	0.0%	+/- 2.5	0.0%	+/- 2.5
Ferndale Boro	1,537	+/- 88	99.0%	+/- 0.7	1.0%	+/- 0.7	97.3%	+/- 1.5	2.7%	+/- 1.5	1.0%	+/- 1.1	1.6%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 1.6
Franklin Boro	360	+/- 89	100.0%	+/- 6.7	0.0%	+/- 6.7	99.2%	+/- 0.9	0.8%	+/- 0.9	0.0%	+/- 6.7	0.8%	+/- 0.9	0.0%	+/- 6.7	0.0%	+/- 6.7
Gallitzin Boro	1,513	+/- 122	99.7%	+/- 0.3	0.3%	+/- 0.3	98.1%	+/- 0.9	1.9%	+/- 0.9	0.6%	+/- 0.5	1.3%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 1.6
Gallitzin Twp	1,179	+/- 106	100.0%	+/- 2.1	0.0%	+/- 2.1	99.5%	+/- 0.5	0.5%	+/- 0.5	0.3%	+/- 0.3	0.3%	+/- 0.4	0.0%	+/- 2.1	0.0%	+/- 2.1
Geistown Boro	2,276	+/- 97	98.8%	+/- 1.2	1.2%	+/- 1.2	96.2%	+/- 2.0	3.8%	+/- 2.0	0.8%	+/- 0.7	2.3%	+/- 1.5	0.7%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.1
Hastings Boro	1,228	+/- 139	99.8%	+/- 0.5	0.2%	+/- 0.5	99.0%	+/- 1.1	1.0%	+/- 1.1	0.2%	+/- 0.5	0.7%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 2.0	0.0%	+/- 2.0
Jackson Twp	4,222	+/- 62	100.0%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 0.6	98.9%	+/- 0.8	1.1%	+/- 0.8	0.6%	+/- 0.5	0.5%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 0.6
Johnstown City	19,269	+/- 204	99.4%	+/- 0.3	0.6%	+/- 0.3	96.6%	+/- 0.9	3.4%	+/- 0.9	1.8%	+/- 0.6	1.3%	+/- 0.5	0.2%	+/- 0.3	0.1%	+/- 0.1
Lilly Boro	773	+/- 86	99.6%	+/- 0.5	0.4%	+/- 0.5	98.1%	+/- 1.3	1.9%	+/- 1.3	0.5%	+/- 0.6	1.4%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 3.2	0.0%	+/- 3.2
Lorain Boro	692	+/- 146	100.0%	+/- 3.5	0.0%	+/- 3.5	99.4%	+/- 0.8	0.6%	+/- 0.8	0.3%	+/- 0.6	0.3%	+/- 0.5	0.0%	+/- 3.5	0.0%	+/- 3.5

LANGUAGE SPOKEN AT HOME (Continued)

Municipality	Total Population 5 yrs+	MOE	Speak English "very well"	MOE	Speak English Less Than Very Well	MOE	English Only	MOE	Other Than English	MOE	Spanish or Spanish Creole	MOE	Other Indo- European Languages	MOE	Asian and Pacific Island Languages	MOE	Other Languages	MOE
Loretto Boro	1,261	+/- 244	100.0%	+/- 2.0	0.0%	+/- 2.0	96.7%	+/- 4.1	3.3%	+/- 4.1	2.4%	+/- 4.0	0.9%	+/- 1.4	0.0%	+/- 2.0	0.0%	+/- 2.0
Lower Yoder Twp	2.617	+/- 54	98.9%	+/- 1.0	1.1%	+/- 1.0	98.1%	+/- 1.2	1.9%	+/- 1.2	0.3%	+/- 0.6	1.3%	+/- 1.0	0.3%	+/- 0.4	0.0%	+/- 0.9
Middle Taylor Twp	647	+/- 87	100.0%	+/- 3.8	0.0%	+/- 3.8	99.4%	+/- 0.6	0.6%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 3.8	0.6%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 3.8	0.0%	+/- 3.8
MunsterTwp	529	+/- 69	99.4%	+/- 0.7	0.6%	+/- 0.7	98.5%	+/- 1.3	1.5%	+/- 1.3	1.1%	+/- 1.1	0.4%	+/- 0.5	0.0%	+/- 4.6	0.0%	+/- 4.6
Nanty Glo Boro	2,431	+/- 107	100.0%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.0	98.5%	+/- 1.1	1.5%	+/- 1.1	0.4%	+/- 0.6	1.1%	+/- 0.9	0.0%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.0
Northern Cambria Boro	3,622	+/- 67	99.3%	+/- 0.8	0.7%	+/- 0.8	97.9%	+/- 1.5	2.1%	+/- 1.5	0.7%	+/- 0.8	1.0%	+/- 0.9	0.4%	+/- 0.7	0.0%	+/- 0.7
Patton Boro	1,618	+/- 127	100.0%	+/- 1.5	0.0%	+/- 1.5	98.1%	+/- 1.0	1.9%	+/- 1.0	1.0%	+/- 0.8	0.9%	+/- 0.7	0.0%	+/- 1.5	0.0%	+/- 1.5
Portage Boro	2,416	+/- 57	100.0%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.0	98.9%	+/- 0.8	1.1%	+/- 0.8	0.5%	+/- 0.5	0.7%	+/- 0.6	0.0%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.0
Portage Twp	3,460	+/- 67	99.5%	+/- 0.8	0.5%	+/- 0.8	96.5%	+/- 2.9	3.5%	+/- 2.9	0.0%	+/- 0.7	3.5%	+/- 2.9	0.0%	+/- 0.7	0.0%	+/- 0.7
Reade Twp	1,597	+/- 157	100.0%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 1.6	99.0%	+/- 1.1	1.0%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 1.6	1.0%	+/- 1.1	0.0%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 1.6
Richland Twp	12,247	+/- 126	99.3%	+/- 0.6	0.7%	+/- 0.6	94.3%	+/- 1.9	5.7%	+/- 1.9	0.9%	+/- 0.8	3.6%	+/- 1.4	1.2%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 0.2
Sankertown Boro	609	+/- 76	100.0%	+/- 4.0	0.0%	+/- 4.0	97.2%	+/- 1.9	2.8%	+/- 1.9	1.1%	+/- 1.2	1.6%	+/- 1.7	0.0%	+/- 4.0	0.0%	+/- 4.0
Scalp Level Boro	758	+/- 110	99.5%	+/- 0.7	0.5%	+/- 0.7	96.3%	+/- 3.1	3.7%	+/- 3.1	0.9%	+/- 1.3	2.4%	+/- 2.3	0.0%	+/- 3.2	0.4%	+/- 0.8
South Fork Boro	937	+/- 123	100.0%	+/- 2.6	0.0%	+/- 2.6	98.4%	+/- 1.3	1.6%	+/- 1.3	0.9%	+/- 0.8	0.0%	+/- 2.6	0.7%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 2.6
Southmont Boro	2,176	+/- 106	98.7%	+/- 1.5	1.3%	+/- 1.5	94.2%	+/- 3.1	5.8%	+/- 3.1	2.7%	+/- 2.0	1.0%	+/- 0.9	2.1%	+/- 2.1	0.0%	+/- 1.1
Stonycreek Twp	2,718	+/- 54	98.0%	+/- 1.7	2.0%	+/- 1.7	94.1%	+/- 2.9	5.9%	+/- 2.9	0.0%	+/- 0.9	3.9%	+/- 3.4	2.0%	+/- 1.8	0.0%	+/- 0.9
Summerhill Boro	435	+/- 68	100.0%	+/- 5.6	0.0%	+/- 5.6	99.1%	+/- 1.0	0.9%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 5.6	0.9%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 5.6	0.0%	+/- 5.6
Summerhill Twp	2,432	+/- 178	99.8%	+/- 0.3	0.2%	+/- 0.3	98.8%	+/- 0.8	1.2%	+/- 0.8	0.5%	+/- 0.6	0.6%	+/- 0.5	0.0%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 1.0
Susquehanna Twp	1,847	+/- 172	100.0%	+/- 1.3	0.0%	+/- 1.3	99.1%	+/- 0.7	0.9%	+/- 0.7	0.5%	+/- 0.6	0.2%	+/- 0.3	0.0%	+/- 1.3	0.1%	+/- 0.2
Tunnehill Boro	235	+/- 49	100.0%	+/- 10.1	0.0%	+/- 10.1	99.1%	+/- 1.5	0.9%	+/- 1.5	0.4%	+/- 1.6	0.4%	+/- 1.0	0.0%	+/- 10.1	0.0%	+/- 10.1
Upper Yoder Twp	5,301	+/- 66	98.4%	+/- 1.2	1.6%	+/- 1.2	95.8%	+/- 2.4	4.2%	+/- 2.4	1.6%	+/- 1.3	1.2%	+/- 1.4	1.0%	+/- 1.3	0.4%	+/- 0.5
Vintondale Boro	450	+/- 127	100.0%	+/- 5.4	0.0%	+/- 5.4	96.7%	+/- 4.0	3.3%	+/- 4.0	0.0%	+/- 5.4	3.3%	+/- 4.0	0.0%	+/- 5.4	0.0%	+/- 5.4
Washington Twp	945	+/- 91	98.8%	+/- 1.0	1.2%	+/- 1.0	98.4%	+/- 1.2	1.6%	+/- 1.2	0.0%	+/- 2.6	1.6%	+/- 1.2	0.0%	+/- 2.6	0.0%	+/- 2.6
West Caroll Twp	1,055	+/- 146	99.0%	+/- 1.9	1.0%	+/- 1.9	97.3%	+/- 2.6	2.7%	+/- 2.6	1.2%	+/- 2.1	1.5%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 2.3	0.0%	+/- 2.3
West Taylor Twp	750	+/- 108	98.7%	+/- 3.2	1.3%	+/- 3.2	98.4%	+/- 3.2	1.6%	+/- 3.2	0.3%	+/- 0.5	0.0%	+/- 3.3	1.3%	+/- 3.2	0.0%	+/- 3.3
Westmont Boro	4,854	+/- 135	98.6%	+/- 1.3	1.4%	+/- 1.3	95.9%	+/- 2.4	4.1%	+/- 2.4	1.4%	+/- 1.5	2.5%	+/- 2.2	0.2%	+/- 0.3	0.0%	+/- 0.5
White Twp	825	+/- 135	100.0%	+/- 3.0	0.0%	+/- 3.0	98.7%	+/- 1.6	1.3%	+/- 1.6	1.3%	+/- 1.6	0.0%	+/- 3.0	0.0%	+/- 3.0	0.0%	+/- 3.0
Wilmore Boro	201	+/- 51	100.0%	+/- 11.7	0.0%	+/- 11.7	99.5%	+/- 1.3	0.5%	+/- 1.3	0.5%	+/- 1.3	0.0%	+/- 11.7	0.0%	+/- 11.7	0.0%	+/- 11.7
Cambria County	135,331	+/- 34	99.2%	+/- 0.2	0.8%	+/- 0.2	96.8%	+/- 0.3	3.2%	+/- 0.3	1.3%	+/- 0.2	1.5%	+/- 0.2	0.4%	+/- 0.1	0.1%	+/- 0.1
Pennsylvania	12,008,403	+/- 368	96.1%	+/- 0.1	3.9%	+/- 0.1	89.7%	+/- 0.1	10.3%	+/- 0.1	4.4%	+/- 0.1	3.6%	+/- 0.1	1.8%	+/- 0.1	0.5%	+/- 0.1

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau; 2009-2013 5-Year American Community Survey, Table S1601

RACE 2010 CENSUS

Municipality	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino	Percent	Not Hispanic or Latino	Percent	White Only	Percent	Black or African American	Percent	American Indian & Alaska Native Alone	Percent	Asian Alone	Percent	Native Hawaiian Alone	Percent	Some Other Race Alone	Percent	Two or More Races	Percent
Adams Twp	5,972	27	0.5%	5,945	99.5%	5,882	98.5%	9	0.2%	2	0.0%	11	0.2%	5	0.1%	2	0.0%	34	0.6%
Allgeheny Twp	2,851	246	8.6%	2,605	91.4%	2,121	74.4%	423	14.8%	5	0.2%	16	0.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	39	1.4%
Ashville Boro	227	2	0.9%	225	99.1%	221	97.4%	2	0.9%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.4%
Barr Twp	2,056	5	0.2%	2,051	99.8%	2,037	99.1%	1	0.0%	4	0.2%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.3%
Blacklick Twp	2,013	9	0.4%	2,004	99.6%	1,993	99.0%	2	0.1%	1	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	0.3%
Brownstown Boro	744	5	0.7%	739	99.3%	734	98.7%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.4%
Cambria Twp	6,099	65	1.1%	6,034	98.9%	5,741	94.1%	220	3.6%	5	0.1%	31	0.5%	2	0.0%	0	0.0%	35	0.6%
Carrolltown Boro	853	4	0.5%	849	99.5%	840	98.5%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.8%
Cassandra Boro	147	0	0.0%	147	100.0%	143	97.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	2.7%
Chest Twp	349	6	1.7%	343	98.3%	341	97.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.6%
Chest Springs Boro	149	0	0.0%	149	100.0%	149	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Clearfield Twp	1,604	15	0.9%	1,589	99.1%	1,580	98.5%	5	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.2%
Conemaugh Twp	2,012	33	1.6%	1,979	98.4%	1,935	96.2%	24	1.2%	5	0.2%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.2%	10	0.5%
Cresson Boro	1,711	7	0.4%	1,704	99.6%	1,683	98.4%	6	0.4%	2	0.1%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	9	0.5%
Cresson Twp	4,336	190	4.4%	4,146	95.6%	3,478	80.2%	633	14.6%	0	0.0%	15	0.3%	0	0.0%	2	0.0%	18	0.4%
Croyle Twp	2,339	10	0.4%	2,329	99.6%	2,311	98.8%	7	0.3%	3	0.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.3%
Daisytown Boro	326	1	0.3%	325	99.7%	325	99.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Dale Boro	1,234	32	2.6%	1,202	97.4%	1,077	87.3%	73	5.9%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	3	0.2%	44	3.6%
Dean Twp	391	0	0.0%	391	100.0%	387	99.0%	3	0.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.3%
East Carroll Twp	1,654	12	0.7%	1,642	99.3%	1,638	99.0%	2	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
East Conemaugh Boro	1,220	22	1.8%	1,198	98.2%	1,131	92.7%	52	4.3%	3	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.2%	10	0.8%
East Taylor Twp	2,726	19	0.7%	2,707	99.3%	2,644	97.0%	37	1.4%	3	0.1%	9	0.3%	1	0.0%	1	0.0%	12	0.4%
Ebensburg Boro	3,351	16	0.5%	3,335	99.5%	3,279	97.9%	16	0.5%	4	0.1%	22	0.7%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	12	0.4%
Ehrenfeld Boro	228	4	1.8%	224	98.2%	224	98.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Elder Twp	1,038	4	0.4%	1,034	99.6%	1,028	99.0%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Ferndale Boro	1,636	23	1.4%	1,613	98.6%	1,567	95.8%	19	1.2%	2	0.1%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	23	1.4%
Franklin Boro	323	2	0.6%	321	99.4%	299	92.6%	15	4.6%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	2.2%
Gallitzin Boro	1,668	25	1.5%	1,643	98.5%	1,620	97.1%	10	0.6%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	10	0.6%
Gallitzin Twp	1,324	16	1.2%	1,308	98.8%	1,301	98.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.4%
Geistown Boro	2,467	17	0.7%	2,450	99.3%	2,390	96.9%	12	0.5%	0	0.0%	36	1.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	12	0.5%
Hastings Boro	1,278	7	0.5%	1,271	99.5%	1,259	98.5%	2	0.2%	1	0.1%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	7	0.5%
Jackson Twp	4,392	11	0.3%	4,381	99.7%	4,347	99.0%	5	0.1%	1	0.0%	7	0.2%	2	0.0%	1	0.0%	18	0.4%
Johnstown City	20,978	644	3.1%	20,334	96.9%	16,446	78.4%	2,974	14.2%	25	0.1%	51	0.2%	5	0.0%	52	0.2%	781	3.7%
Lilly Boro	968	2	0.2%	966	99.8%	963	99.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%

RACE (Continued)

<u>Municipality</u>	Total Population	Hispanic or Latino	<u>Percent</u>	Not Hispanic or Latino	Percent	White Only	Percent	Black or African American	Percent	American Indian & Alaska Native Alone	Percent	Asian Alone	Percent	Native Hawaiian Alone	Percent	Some Other Race Alone	Percent	Two or More Races	Percent
Lorain Boro	759	4	0.5%	755	99.5%	716	94.3%	18	2.4%	3	0.4%	2	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	16	2.1%
Loretto Boro	1,302	29	2.2%	1,273	97.8%	1,168	89.7%	74	5.7%	0	0.0%	11	0.8%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	18	1.4%
Lower Yoder Twp	2,699	23	0.9%	2,676	99.1%	2,604	96.5%	40	1.5%	1	0.0%	10	0.4%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	20	0.7%
Middle Taylor Twp	727	9	1.2%	718	98.8%	711	97.8%	5	0.7%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.3%
Munster	690	2	0.3%	688	99.7%	683	99.0%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.4%
Nanty Glo Boro	2,734	25	0.9%	2,709	99.1%	2,665	97.5%	10	0.4%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	32	1.2%
Northern Cambria Boro	3,835	14	0.4%	3,821	99.6%	3,765	98.2%	17	0.4%	3	0.1%	14	0.4%	1	0.0%	4	0.1%	17	0.4%
Patton Boro	1,769	7	0.4%	1,762	99.6%	1,750	98.9%	1	0.1%	2	0.1%	4	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.3%
Portage Boro	2,638	14	0.5%	2,624	99.5%	2,586	98.0%	7	0.3%	2	0.1%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	27	1.0%
Portage Twp	3,640	16	0.4%	3,624	99.6%	3,582	98.4%	13	0.4%	2	0.1%	7	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	20	0.5%
Reade Twp	1,619	3	0.2%	1,616	99.8%	1,597	98.6%	5	0.3%	4	0.2%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	8	0.5%
Richland Twp	12,814	134	1.0%	12,680	99.0%	12,217	95.3%	127	1.0%	5	0.0%	241	1.9%	1	0.0%	9	0.1%	80	0.6%
Sankertown Boro	675	1	0.1%	674	99.9%	670	99.3%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
Scalp Level Boro	778	2	0.3%	776	99.7%	756	97.2%	7	0.9%	0	0.0%	8	1.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	5	0.6%
Southfork Boro	928	2	0.2%	926	99.8%	909	98.0%	5	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	11	1.2%
Southmont Boro	2,284	51	2.2%	2,233	97.8%	2,166	94.8%	16	0.7%	0	0.0%	26	1.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	25	1.1%
Stonycreek Twp	2,844	29	1.0%	2,815	99.0%	2,714	95.4%	46	1.6%	0	0.0%	19	0.7%	0	0.0%	2	0.1%	34	1.2%
Summerhill Boro	490	4	0.8%	486	99.2%	486	99.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Summerhill Twp	2,467	9	0.4%	2,458	99.6%	2,449	99.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.3%
Susquehanna Twp	2,007	6	0.3%	2,001	99.7%	1,988	99.1%	4	0.2%	1	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	8	0.4%
Tunnehill Boro	245	0	0.0%	245	100.0%	245	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Upper Yoder Twp	5,449	42	0.8%	5,407	99.2%	5,238	96.1%	48	0.9%	4	0.1%	70	1.3%	5	0.1%	0	0.0%	42	0.8%
Vintondale Boro	414	0	0.0%	414	100.0%	409	98.8%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	2	0.5%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.7%
Washington Twp	875	6	0.7%	869	99.3%	862	98.5%	3	0.3%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	3	0.3%
West Caroll Twp	1,296	3	0.2%	1,293	99.8%	1,288	99.4%	4	0.3%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	1	0.1%
West Taylor Twp	795	12	1.5%	783	98.5%	765	96.2%	13	1.6%	1	0.1%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	4	0.5%
Westmont Boro	5,181	75	1.4%	5,106	98.6%	4,924	95.0%	50	1.0%	3	0.1%	79	1.5%	0	0.0%	12	0.2%	38	0.7%
White Twp	836	3	0.4%	833	99.6%	821	98.2%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	2	0.2%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	6	0.7%
Wilmore Boro	225	0	0.0%	225	100.0%	225	100.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Cambria County	143,679	2,006	1.4%	141,673	98.6%	134,073	93.3%	5,073	3.5%	108	0.1%	720	0.5%	28	0.0%	102	0.1%	1,569	1.1%
Pennsylvania	12,702,379	719,660	5.7%	11,982,719	94.3%	10,094,652	79.5%	1,327,091	10.4%	16,909	0.1%	346,288	2.7	2,715	0.0%	16,469	0.1%	178,595	1.4%

SOURCE: U.S. Census Bureau; 2010 Decennial Census Summary; File 1